

Another prediction comes true!

[Post Reply](#) [Post Reply](#) [Page](#)

1

123 7>

[Author](#) [Message](#) [Topic Rating](#) [Rate Topic](#) [Topic Search](#) [Topic Search](#) [Topic Options](#)
[Topic Options](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah](#) [View](#) [Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#) [Reply](#) [Direct Link](#) To This Post Topic: Another prediction comes true!

Posted: 28 June 2007 at 6:18am

Bismillahirrahmaanerraheem

<http://www.reuters.com/article/newsOne/idUSBLA72275320070627>

Iran says backs Iraq gov't, U.S. trying to hurt ties

Wed Jun 27, 2007 10:24AM EDT

TEHRAN (Reuters) - Iran's supreme leader said his country backed the Iraqi government and accused the United States of seeking to undermine Tehran's ties with Baghdad, the Iranian student news agency ISNA reported.

Ayatollah Ali Khamenei, Iran's highest authority under its system of clerical rule, was speaking to visiting Iraqi President Jalal Talabani on Tuesday.

Iran has said it is studying an Iraqi request to hold more talks with U.S. officials in Baghdad about Iraqi security after a first round of discussions in May that were the most high profile Iranian-U.S. meeting in almost three decades.

Both sides described that meeting as positive but no date has been fixed for another session.

"Those mainly behind the insecurity of Iraq and catastrophes (in Iraq) are the spy networks of America, the Zionist regime (Israel) and some countries who walk beside them," Khamenei was quoted as saying at the meeting with Talabani.

"Americans are against the development of relations between Iran and Iraq and are trying to create some disorder in this relationship but one should stand against this (effort)."

Washington accuses Iran of stoking violence in Iraq, a country with which Iran fought an eight-year war in the 1980s when Saddam Hussein was in power. Tehran dismisses the accusations and says U.S. forces are behind the instability.

"The Islamic Republic of Iran seriously supports the current government of Iraq," Khamenei added.

Talabani was also due to meet Iraqi Shi'ite cleric Abdul Aziz al-Hakim, the head of Iraq's largest parliamentary bloc who is in Iran for medical treatment, Iranian media reported.

[Back to Top](#)

[Sponsored Links](#)

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah](#) [View Drop Down](#)

[Senior Member](#)

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post Posted: 28 June 2007 at 6:45am

Ok, so let's see what Khamenehi (Leader of Iran) is saying...

Iran's supreme leader said his country backed the Iraqi government and accused the United States of seeking to undermine Tehran's ties with Baghdad, the Iranian student news agency ISNA reported.

Hmmm... so what Khamenehi is saying is that the United States is trying to stop the alliance between Iran and the New Iraqi Government. In other words, he is trying to say that by helping and supporting the New Iraqi Government which the UNITED STATES CREATED AND IS SUPPORTING AND PROTECTING, Iran is somehow going against the wishes of the United States Government!!!!

Not only that, he goes on to claim that the United States does NOT support the New Iraqi Government and that the Sunni resistance who are fighting against the puppet Government and the occupation are the agents of the USA and Israel!!!!

"Those mainly behind the insecurity of Iraq and catastrophes (in Iraq) are the spy networks of America, the Zionist regime (Israel) and some countries who walk beside them," Khamenei was quoted as saying at the meeting with Talabani.

If this is true (and you would have to be blind to believe it) then why is the New Iraqi Government praising the United States and even Israel? Why are they protected by US forces and why are they allied and fighting side by side with the United States against the Sunni resistance and why is the United States training the puppet Governments Military and Police force?

Khamenehi is actually saying that the guy on the right (Bush) is the enemy of the guy on the left (Jalal Talabani who was installed as "president of Iraq" and is protected to this day by US forces)

What is actually happening here is that the Iranian Government is trying to explain away their own hypocrisy by pretending as if by helping the US occupation in Iraq and Afghanistan, they are actually working against the United States!!! They claim that the US wants the war to continue and that they don't want their own puppets to rule Iraq!!

What's even more interesting is that at the same time, the United States is accusing Iran of helping the "terrorists" (resistance/insurgents) in Iraq...!!! So both sides are blaming each other, but why???

The answer is, Iran wants to make it seem as if the Islamic Resistance in Iraq and Afghanistan are "fake" so that they can have an excuse to help the war against these Islamic groups while still pretending to be Islamic themselves!

It's really funny because what Iran is actually implying is that: "the resistance in Iraq and Afghanistan are agents of America, so we must destroy these terrorists, and in order to do so we must help America!!!" Sounds crazy? Well Iran actually confessed that they helped the USA in Afghanistan and it's very clear that they are doing the same in Iraq, but when they are questioned about the reason they use a form of Double-think to explain their actions: America is Bad, the Sunni insurgents are their agents, so the Sunni insurgents are also bad, so we must help America fight the Sunni insurgents!!

This pretty much is the "logic" they are using, which as I already stated, requires people to Double-think.

And as I've already explained on my earlier posts, the reason why the USA is accusing Iran of helping the Iraqi Resistance is to make it seem as if Iran is helping the Muslims in order to make Iran popular among religious Muslims which was the whole purpose of the orchestrated Iranian revolution from the beginning.

As for the thread title being Another prediction comes true, it is because I predicted this exactly 5 months ago:

"But just incase the Sunni resistance continues to stand tall, they are going to have to stay content with a pro-American, yet "religious" Shiah Government (So long as Iran is allied to them, and so long as Iran is seen as a religious anti-American Government, the New Iraqi Government could also hope to be portrayed as anti-American one day. In other words they can later pretend to have sided with Iran rather than the USA, when in reality they have always been working with both Iran and the USA)."

http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?TID=8411&PN=1&TPN=1

[Back to Top](#)

[Duende View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Joined: 27 July 2005

Status: Offline

Points: 651 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Duende Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[Reply Direct Link To This Post](#)
Posted: 28 June 2007 at 8:53am

All Hail the Mighty Sawtul, Great and All-Seeing Soothsayer, Who Never
Speaks A Lie, But Always Untangles and then Retangles The Most Simple
Politics To Justify His Inexplicable Self-Hate (He Is Iranian).

Why are you the only person apparently on earth who fails to see the Dark
Lord Cheney's persistence in setting up Iran for an attack, possibly a

'limited nuclear' attack? Why are you apparently the only person on earth who fails to see that within the great MSM of America Iran has been successfully portrayed as the greatest threat to world peace (whatever that is) in order to drum up support for 'wiping the Ahmadinejad regime off the map'?

Why do you even bother starting up your perverse threads which you then maintain with subsequent posts (that's called 'spamming') and then judge yourself to have been GASP- RIGHT ALL ALONG !!!!

You are so tiresome with your anti-Shia, anti-Iran rants which simply divert everybody's attention away from the pre-war buzz which is on-going in the US. Now, you tell me, because it really makes my brain ache trying to follow your psychotic dialogues, why on earth would America be preparing a military build-up in the Straights of Hormuz- Persian Gulf, bombing the crap out of Iraq and consistently making up little propaganda excersises blaming Iran for providing weapons to Iraqi 'resistance fighters' if all the while, behind the scenes they are slapping each other on the back and praising one another for having managed to keep hidden from the entire world, including many seriously talented investigative journalists, the fact that Iran and the US are secret allies ...?

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah](#) [View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623

[Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To
This Post Posted: 28 June 2007 at 9:32am

My post was very clear and if you really think that Khamenehi is right you need to look carefully at what he is and has been saying all this time.

According to "ayatollah" Ali Khamenehi, leader of Iran, these guys are agents of America and Israel:

And these attacks were carried out by "America's agents" against the American military! ??????!!??!!

And at the same time he and his brainwashed followers say that this guy and everyone else in Iraq who support the United States and are protected, funded and trained by America are INDEPENDENT and ISLAMIC and ON THE RIGHT PATH!!!

So according to the Iranian Government, anyone who fights against the American occupation and actually does something rather than just shout in the streets is "an agent of America".

And anyone who does nothing, or actually Helps the American occupation is "independent" and "Islamic" and America and Israel are planning to destroy them using their "agents" ... meaning the ISLAMIC RESISTANCE!!!!!!

It is incredible that so many people (not just his followers) actually believe him. Please THINK!

It's one thing to accuse the resistance in Iraq and Afghanistan of being fake... but the Iranian Government doesn't stop there. They first accuse the resistance of being agents of America, then they help America to fight against the resistance and when anyone complains they say "Hey it's ok, we're fighting against America's agents...!!!"

They expect us to believe that the resistance are "agents of America", but at the same time the puppet Government of Karzai and "New Iraqi Government" are INDEPENDENT AND ISLAMIC!!!

These are just lies and excuses. The real agents of America and Israel are their puppets and those who are helping them. I've explained their plans in great dept on other threads:

http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?TID=8411&PN=1&TPN=1

http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?TID=6007&PN=10&TPN=1

http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?TID=8924&PN=2

http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?TID=7785&PN=2&TPN=1

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[Reply](#)[Direct Link To This Post](#) Posted: 28 June 2007 at 9:45am

Originally posted by Duende Duende wrote:

To Justify His Inexplicable Self-Hate (He Is Iranian).

Just because I oppose the Iranian Government doesnt mean that I hate myself for being Iranian.

Originally posted by Duende Duende wrote:

to drum up support for 'wiping the Ahmadinejad regime off the map'?

USA will wipe Iran off the map at the same time that Iran wipes Israel off the map... meaning NEVER! Why? Because Iran, Israel and USA are all on the same side.

Originally posted by Duende Duende wrote:

then judge

yourself to have been GASP- RIGHT ALL ALONG !!!!

Maybe because I was right, not just in this case but the Lebanon war too! (remember?)
http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?TID=6007& ;PN=10&TPN=1

Originally posted by Duende Duende wrote:

consistently making up little

propaganda excercises blaming Iran for providing weapons to Iraqi
'resistance fighters' if all the while, behind the scenes they are slapping
each other on the back and praising one another for having managed to
keep hidden from the entire world, including many seriously talented
investigative journalists, the fact that Iran and the US are secret allies ...?

I already explained that on my first post on this thread and on other threads in the past. Read the bottom of this page: http://www.islamicity.com/forum/forum_posts.asp?TID=8411& ;PN=1&TPN=1

[Back to Top](#)

[Duende View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Joined: 27 July 2005

Status: Offline

Points: 651 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Duende Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[Reply Direct Link To This Post](#)
Posted: 29 June 2007 at 8:15am

Thank You Sawtul, for your nice little slide show. Unfortunately, you are managing to utterly fail to persuade me that Iran is secretly allied with the US/UK. This is merely your own wish-fulfillment since it would suit your hyper-paranoid backwards theories of Shia-US-Israeli cooperation.

If you want to seriously contradict EVERYTHING you have to be at least as clever and journalistically professional and persuasive (providing proof not just your habitual: "See? I told you so" rhetoric) as those who are regularly reporting on your favourite theme. Here's a brief selection, just to wind you up:

Iran helping to plan attacks in Iraq - US

27 Jun 2007 15:46:32 GMT

Source: Reuters

Congress Votes to Send Iran President Before U.N. Court

WASHINGTON, D.C. (June 20, 2007) - Today the House of Representatives passed H. Con.Res.21, a resolution that pressures the United Nations Security Council to charge Iranian President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad with violating the 1948 Convention on Genocide and the United Nations Charter because of his alleged calls for the destruction of Israel

The possibility of a US attack on Iran

=====

Excerpts of presentation, Vancouver 9/11 Truth Conference

By Prof. Peter Dale Scott

06/27/07 "backofthebook" -- June 26, 2007 -- "I have been speaking in public for 60 years, but this is perhaps the most important topic I have

ever spoken about."

"I want to talk about the threat to world peace, and the possibility of a US attack, possibly a nuclear attack, on Iran."

=====

Jun. 22, 2007 15:14 | Updated Jun. 22, 2007 16:01

Report: IAF preparing for Iran strike

By JPOST.COM STAFF

The ♦Oil Weapon♦ is Unleashed Against Iran

Posted on Feb 13th, 2007

Gary Dorsch (Global Money Trends) submits: Crude oil has become a key weapon in the battle between Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, and the UAE, aligned with the United States, against the mullahs of Iran. The Arab Oil kingdoms fear the emergence of a Tehran-led axis linking Iran, Iraqi Shiites, Syria, Lebanon♦s Hezbollah, Palestinian Hamas in Gaza, and Islamic militants linked to al Qaeda trying to topple the Saudi royal family.

Washington Report on Middle East Affairs, May-June 2007, pages 12-13

=====

A brief report to show just how anti-muslim the US system is:

Dr. Rafil A. Dhafir at Terre Haute Prison♦s New Communications Management Unit

By Katherine Hughes

The Federal Correctional Institution at Terre Haute, Indiana
(www.rawstory.com).

AT PRECISELY 7 a.m. on Monday, Dec. 11, 2006, 17 federal prisoners across the country were taken out of their cells, held in isolation for two days, then bused to the Federal Correctional Institution (FCI) in Terre Haute, Indiana. Here the government quietly began implementing the first stages of a secret new program, the Communications Management Unit (CMU). A completely self-contained unit housing almost exclusively Arab and/or Muslim inmates, it eventually will hold approximately 85 prisoners.

=====

Hersh: "Hitting Iran is Bush and Cheney's Wet Dream"

Posted by Guest Blogger on June 29, 2007 at 6:12 AM.

This post, written by Amanda Terkel, originally appeared on Think Progress

In February, Pulitzer Prize winning journalist Seymour Hersh wrote a piece in The New Yorker revealing that the Bush administration was setting its sights heavily on Iran, planning for a "possible bombing attack":

Still, the Pentagon is continuing intensive planning for a possible bombing attack on Iran, a process that began last year, at the direction of the President. In recent months, the former intelligence official told me, a special planning group has been established in the offices of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, charged with creating a contingency bombing plan for Iran

that can be implemented, upon orders from the President, within twenty-four hours.

=====

[www.salon.com/opinion/greenwald/2007/06/15/iran/index.html?](http://www.salon.com/opinion/greenwald/2007/06/15/iran/index.html?source=rss)

source=rss

On Tuesday, Hersh spoke more on the Bush administration's focus on Iran at the Campus Progress National Conference. He said that President Bush and Vice President Cheney are ignoring the actual intelligence on Iran. The "intelligence community keeps on saying, 'There's no bomb there.' And Cheney keeps on saying to the young briefing officers, 'Thank you son, I don't buy that.'" Hersh added, "George Bush's and Dick Cheney's wet dream is hitting Iran."

And Joe Lieberman has yet another Op-Ed in The Wall St. Journal this morning proclaiming how Great Things are Going in Iraq, but warning that the True Threat comes from our Mortal Persian Enemy:

Facts on the ground also compel us to recognize that Iran is doing everything in its power to drive us out of Iraq, including providing substantive support, training and sophisticated explosive devices to insurgents who are murdering American soldiers. Iran has initiated a deadly military confrontation with us, from bases in Iran, which we ignore at our peril, and at the peril of our allies throughout the Middle East. I really think that most people believe that a military strike on Iran, let alone an all-out war with that country, is simply unrealistic, that it cannot and will not happen. Certainly our political discussions are virtually devoid of any sense of urgency over the prospect of a military confrontation with Iran.

But we are so clearly on that path. As Kaletsky points out, even "some even inside the Bush Administration" are plotting "how to prevent 'the crazies' from starting another war." But in each of these internal struggles, the easy victors have always been the assorted neoconservatives and warmongers, led by Dick Cheney, surrounding the President. They are clearly pining for a war with Iran. Republican presidential candidates this side of Ron Paul are already lining up in loyal support, because they have to. And it is very difficult to imagine what is going to stop the administration's "crazies" from prompting this new military confrontation (Congressional Democrats? A scrutinizing press? Public outcry?).

=====

Saturday June 16, 2007 08:05 EST

The NYT on the administration's "debate" over whether to attack Iran

It is, I believe, a positive development that The New York Times today has a front-page article documenting how active the debate is inside the Bush administration over whether to attack Iran. Perhaps the article will elevate the attention level paid to this very real and very dangerous possibility.

The essence of the article is this:

The debate has pitted Ms. Rice and her deputies, who appear to be winning so far, against the few remaining hawks inside the administration, especially those in Vice President Dick Cheney's office who, according to some people familiar with the discussions, are pressing for greater consideration of military strikes against Iranian nuclear facilities.

The narrative is identical, of course, to the pre-Iraq-war "debate" which the media so vocally dramatized, with Secretary Rice in the role of reluctant warrior formerly played by Colin Powell, and Dick Cheney reprising his role of unabashed warmonger. It is true that there have been some personnel changes since then (most notably, Robert Gates in the place of Donald Rumsfeld), but George W. Bush is still the Decider, and he has not exactly been ambiguous about his views on the proper resolution of such "debates." As he told a group of right-wing pundits in October 2006: "I've never been more convinced that the decisions I made are the right decisions."

The NYT article tells the Iran story almost entirely from the administration's perspective. Although the article begins by referencing Iran's nuclear program, it includes this paragraph early on:

Even beyond its nuclear program, Iran is emerging as an increasing source of trouble for the Bush administration by inflaming the insurgencies in Iraq, Afghanistan, Lebanon and in Gaza, where it has provided military and financial support to the militant Islamic group Hamas, which now controls the Gaza Strip.

Note that the numerous claims here are presented not as assertions, not as arguments, but as facts. And they are not even accompanied by the qualification that these were asserted by the article's anonymous "administration officials."

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post Posted: 29 June 2007 at 12:42pm

I've already refuted these basic stuff on so many threads, you're just posting official propaganda.

[Back to Top](#)

usama View Drop Down

Groupie

Groupie

Avatar

Joined: 07 October 2005

Location: United States

Status: Offline

Points: 49 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote usama Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post Posted: 01 July 2007 at 7:53am

I have to cut the difference between the two.

Iran IS against the liberation of the Muslim world from the West and the resurgence of the khilafah. In that, Iran allies with Israel, UK, and America. Iran, like the other nation states that gain their power from nationalism, capitalism, and the World Order run by America, does and will very likely continue to work with America et al to defend their way of life.

Eventhough Iran is a signatory to the UN and continues to abide by international treaties which violate the governing authority of Muslims and supremacy and sovereignty of Allah (SWT), America still would rather betray Iran's regime for America's own interests.

This is a common trend of American foreign policy: to stab in the back those who serve and bow to it. They did this with scores of dictators and regimes through the decades, including the Taliban. America will continue to subjugate and prod its subject rulers until they cater to every dimension of American interests, and even then that won't be enough.

Qaddafi is a dictator that served America and Britain for decades. The Lockerbie bombing is highly contentious, but he coughed up a massive fortune (several billion) to stay on the West's good side, or at least so they'd push him down the list of 'things to do'. But when the time comes, they'll focus on him to get him ousted and open up Libya for their vultures.

America IS focussing on Iran as a future target of its scorn even if Iran caters and works with America. There are many benefits America gains from suffering Iran, including justifying American presence in the Gulf states and further driving them into the lap of American hegemony (joint military initiatives, permanent American bases, deep intel assets in the Gulf military and political eschelon, massive exorbitant military expenditures by Gulf govts on American military contracts, etc). America could stretch their bellicosity towards Iran out for 30 years, draining trillions from the Arab and Gulf nations while further intrenching America there (eg. Halliburton relocating to Duha).

The bigger picture is who is on Allah (SWT)'s side in the region?

Let there arise from amongst you a group inviting to all that is good, enjoining what is right, forbidding what is wrong, and they are the successful ones. Al Imran:104